

Study of Antibacterial Activity from Alcoholic Extracts of *Torilis arvensis* (Huds.) Link on Biofilm formation of *Acinetobacter baumannii* in Diyala Province - Iraq

Muhammad Jassim Muhammad^{*}, Kareem Ibrahim Mubarak and Khazal Dh. Wadi

Department of Biology - College of Sciences - Diyala University, Iraq.

mhmdjsm85@gmail.com

Received: 14 June 2022 Accepted: 3 August 2022

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.24237/ASJ.01.01.614C

Abstract

This study was conducted in Baqubah Education Hospital in Diyala/Iraq from the period 1st January to 2nd of March 2022. One hundred twenty clinical specimens from wounds and burns swab of different ages and gender and were obtained and cultured on suitable media (Blood agar and MacConkey agar) for isolation and identification of Acinetobacter baumannii according to standard bacteriological techniques incubated under suitable conditions. The current study was investigated for antibiotic susceptibility test, Minimum, Sub Inhibitory concentration (MIC and SUB-MIC) of antibiotics, biofilms formation, antibacterial effect of Methanol and ethanol extracts of *Torilis arvensis* (Huds.) link and active compounds of extract. Under current study, twenty isolates of A.baumannii were identified and which were distributed as (65 %) from wounds infections and 35% from burns infections. The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of Meropenem and Levofloxacin ranged from 8-512 ug.m⁻¹. Antibiotics sensitivity test of bacteria revealed highly resistance for all antibiotics which were used in current study where the percentage value range from 46% for Tobramycin to 90% for Ampicillin-sulbactam and Ceftazidime, Isolates of A. baumannii showed high ability for biofilm formation with a ratio about 85 %. And 20 substances that were present in plant extract were detected by Gas Chromatography-mass spectrometry and recorded as active compounds.



Ethanol and methanol extracts of *Torilis arvensis* (Huds.) Link demonstrated high antibacterial activity against *A. baumannii* isolates as concentration about 200 mg.ml⁻¹. The results of the current study showed that the stimulation of *A.baumannii* isolates for biofilm formation may be affected by the both SUB-MIC of antibiotics and Torilis arvensis (Huds.) Link. **Keywords:** *Acinetobacter baumannii*; Biofilm formation; *Torilis arvensis*; Antibiotics

دراسة الفعالية المضادة للبكتيريا للمستخلصات الكحولية من نبات القميلة الحقلية Torilis arvensis دراسة الفعالية المضادة للبكتيريا للبكتيريا البومانية في محافظة ديالى - العراق Link

محمد جاسم محمد، كريم ابراهيم مبارك وخز عل ضبع وادي قسم علوم الحياة - كلية العلوم - جامعة ديالي، العراق

الخلاصة

اجريت هذه الدراسة في مستشفى بعقوبة التعليمي في ديالى / العراق للمدة من 1 كانون الثاني إلى 2 أذار 2022. تم جمع مائة و عشرون عينة سريرية من الجروح والحروق من مختلف الأعمار والجنس زر عت على الأوساط الغذائية الملائمة كما تم حضنها في ظل ظروف مناسبة لغرض عزل البكتريا الراكدة البومانية *الموساط الغذائية الملائمة كما هد حضنها في ظل ظروف مناسبة لغرض عزل البكتريا الراكدة البومانية Accinetobacter baumannii تم حضنها في ظل ظروف مناسبة لغرض عزل البكتريا الراكدة البومانية Accinetobacter baumannii تم حضنها في ظل ظروف مناسبة لغرض عزل البكتريا الراكدة البومانية الحيوية، تكوين الأغثية الحيوية، والتأثير الدراسة اختبار الحساسية للمضادات الحيوية، التركيز المثبط الأدنى للمضادات الحيوية، تكوين الأغثية الحيوية، والتأثير المضاد للبكتيريا بوساطة الميثانول والايثانول المستخلصة من نبات القميلة الحقاية (Huds) وتحديد المركبات الفعالة في المستخلص. تم من خلال الدراسة الحالية عزل و تشخيص 20 عزلة من البكتريا الراكدة البومانية والتي المركبات الفعالة في المستخلص. تم من خلال الدراسة الحالية عزل وتشخيص 20 عزلة من البكتريا الراكدة البومانية والتي المركبات الفعالة الميثانول والايثانول المستخلصة من نبات القميلة الحقاية (Huds) وتحديد الم معنان و 20% من اصابات الحروق، بينما تراوح الحد الأدنى للتركيز المثبط المركبات الفعانية ولي وينبع و ليفوفلوكساسين من 8-212 ميكرو غرام. مل⁻¹. أظهر اختبار حساسية المضادات الحيوية وجود مقاومة وعلى الية لجميعها اذ تراوحت القيمة المئوية %40 بالنسبة لمضاد توبر اميسين إلى 90% لكل من الأسيسيلين - سولباكتام وسيفتازيديم. اظهرت الغرات قدرة عالية على تكوين الغثاء الحيوي بنسبة %85، كما تم الأسيسيلين - سولباكتام وسيفتازيديم. اظهرت العزات العرون الغناء الحيوي بنسبة شر58، كما تم معلياف الخور و تساد توبر اميسين إلى 90% لكل من الأسيماني و مناز 20% لكل من الأسيسيلين - سولباكتام وسيفتازيديم. اظهرت العزال فر على تراوحت الغشاء الحيوي بنسبة %85، كما تم من 20 مائمة وسيفتاني و والميثنون من 10 مانية على تكوين الغشاء الحيوي بنسبة %86، كما تم لهرت مستخلصات وسيفتازيدي ما مال المان ماليكانو الفانية على تكوين الغان و تم تسيفليا ضد عزلات المونية عن 20 مائما ما وسيفاني و الموران النونية المان الكانية اللوني للغاز وتم تسيفيا مد عزلات الراكدة البومانية*



يتأثر الى حد ما بمقدار الحد الادنى للتركيز المثبط للمضادات الحيوية من جهة وكذلك تركيز المستخلص الكحولي لنبات القميلة الحقلية T. arvensis من جهة اخرى

الكلمات المفتاحية: الراكدة البومائية، تكوين الغشاء الحيوي، مستخلصات Torilis arvensis، المضادات الحيوية

Introduction

One of the most common adverse events in healthcare is nosocomial infection/hospitalacquired illness [1]. Every year, about 5 million people in Europe are thought to be infected by hospital acquired infections, with a prevalence of 7.2 percent [2]. Hospital- acquired illnesses are caused by a variety of pathogens, which change depending on the country, patient demographic, and healthcare facility [3]. Bacteria, viruses, and fungi are all capable of causing HAIs, however, bacteria are the most prevalent causal organism [4].

Acinetobacter baumannii is one of the most dangerous drug-resistant bacteria on the planet [2]. The World Health Organization recently declared drug-resistant A. baumannii to be the priority pathogen, requiring urgent research and development of novel antibiotics [5]. Many dangerous diseases affect infected patients with resistant A. baumannii, including pneumonia septicemia, and urinary tract infections [6]. One of the difficult paths in the development of multidrugresistant A. baumannii is biofilm production by bacterial cells which could explain antimicrobial resistance and abiotic surface survival in the presence of disinfectants and/or desiccants [7]. The global health community is concerned about the establishment and spread of antibiotic resistance, as well as the evolution of new strains of disease-causing organisms [8]. The creation of new medicines or some prospective source of innovative therapeutics is required for effective illness therapy, Our community's commonly utilized medicinal herbs could be a good source of medications to combat this problem [9]. Bioactive compounds, notable polyphenols, are abundant in plant extracts, polyphenols suppress microorganisms, notably bacteria and they can change the shape of microorganisms, damage bacterial cell walls, and influence biofilm development [10]. The Apiaceae (Umbelliferae) family, also known as the carrot or parsley family, is one of the world's largest angiosperm plant groups and There are



300–462 genera and 2,500–3,750 species in the family [11]. For hundreds of years, Torilis arvensis (Huds.)A link has been used as a natural remedy to battle several ailments, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses, as part of the Apiaceae family [12,13]. The current study aimed to determine the effect of SUB-MIC of alcoholic extracts of T. arvensis and antibiotics against strong biofilms formation by A. baumannii isolates.

Materials and Methods

Samples Collection

One hundred and twenty specimens were collected from different clinical sources (wounds and burns) swab from patients of diverse gender and age at Baqubah Education Hospital in Diyala/Iraq from period 1st of January to 2nd of March 2022. After collecting, all the specimens were cultured on Blood agar and MacConkey agar purchased from mast group. All specimens were diagnosed by using biochemical tests (catalase and oxidase tests) and Vitek 2 system (BioMerieux) was done in educational laboratories at Baqubah Education Hospital [14].

Antibiotics Susceptibility Test

The sensitivity test technique was done according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [15]. Mueller-Hinton agar plates were used for the use of quickly growing species in the Kirby- Bauer method [16]. The antibiotics were used in this study are Piperacillin (PI), Ampicillin-sulbactam (AMS), Ceftazidime (CAZ), Cefotaxime (CTX), Tetracycline (TE), Levofloxacin (LEV), Gentamicin (GM), Amikacin (AK), Meropenem (MEM), Imipenem (IPM), Tobramycin (TOB) and Trimethoprim- sulfamethoxazole (STX). Meropenem 10 ug.m⁻¹ and Levofloxacin 5 ug.m⁻¹ were selected as antibiotics for the MIC measurement in all isolates of *A. baumannii* by using 96-well microplates. The reading of outcomes by ELISA (Kevin) reader on 630 nm wavelength [16] and this test was done in educational laboratories at Baqubah Education Hospital.

Detection of biofilm formation

The microtiter plate (also called 96-well plate) assay was used for studying biofilm formation, a method that allows for the observation of bacterial adherence to an abiotic surface [17]. The



results ELISA reader was used to measure the Optical Density OD by wave length 630 nm. Biofilm production was classified as negative, weak, moderate, and strong based on the cutoff value, calculated according to the following formula, using the optical density (OD) values [17]:

OD cutoff=ODavg of negative control + $(3 \times \text{standard deviation of ODs of negative control}).$

The used criteria were as follows:

- i. $OD \leq ODcutoff = Non-biofilm$ former
- ii. $ODcutoff < OD \le 2 \times ODcutoff =$ Weak biofilm former
- iii. $2 \times ODcutoff < OD \le 4 \times ODcutoff = Moderate biofilm former$
- iv. $OD > 4 \times ODcutoff = Strong biofilm former$

Preparation of alcoholic extracts of Torilis arvensis

Ten grams of Torilis arvensis (Huds.) Link leaves were dissolved in 100 mL absolute methanol and 70% ethanol in a vibrating incubator for 24 hours at 34 °C and then the liquid was centrifuged for 15 minutes at 3000 RPM [18]. The extract was dried in an oven at 30-40 degrees Celsius and then placed in sterilized glass bottles and stored in the refrigerator until needed.

Detection of active compounds in plant extract

Active substances and compounds have been detected in the plant extracts of T. arvensis (Huds.)Link with a Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS mass spectrometry) at the Department of Environment and Water / Environmental Research Center at the Ministry of Science and Technology and Ibn Al-Bitar Center of the Ministry of Industry and Minerals. It is noteworthy to say that this is an effective method for the Separation and detection of volatile organic compounds and gaseous mixtures of various inorganic compounds [19].

Determination of the antibacterial activity of alcoholic extracts of Torilis arvensis plant

Agar well diffusion method was used to determine the effect of alcoholic extracts of T. arvensis (Huds.)Link against clinical bacterial isolates according to [20] with some modifications. Three



concentrations were used in this method as follows (50, 100, and 200) mg.ml -l. The positive control was represented by adding methanol only, three replicates worked for each dish, and finally, the plates incubate at 37 °C for 24 h.

Effects of alcoholic extracts of Torilis arvensis on the strong biofilm formation

The microtiter plate's method was used to study the effect of alcoholic extracts on bacterial isolates that only produced strong biofilm formation according to [21]. The clinical isolates were cultured on brain heart infusion broth and incubated at 37° C for 24 hours. After incubation, the broth cultures were compared with a MacFarland standard No. 0.5. Different concentrations of methanol and ethanol extracts were used in this method (50, 100, 200) mg.ml -1, and then 200 µl of concentrations were placed in each hole in the microtiter plate while the only methanol and ethanol were used as control.

Results and Discussion

Isolation and Diagnosis of Acinetobacter baumannii

The number of isolates of A. baumannii were obtained from a total of 120 samples including20 bacterial isolates with a percentage of 29.1% were collected from different clinical sources as follows in figure 1: wounds 65% while burns 35%. Biochemical tests showed that A. baumannii were positive for the catalase test and negative for the oxidase test. VITEK 2 system was used to confirm that all clinical isolates belong to A. baumannii.




Figure 1: The percentage isolates of Acinetobacter baumannii according to sources



The clinical isolates of A. baumannii obtained from wounds and burns were 13/20 65% and 7/20 35% correspondingly, according to the results of figure 1. Our findings were compatible with studies that were done in Iraq [22, 23]. In a study carried out by [24] in which 26 isolates of A. baumannii were diagnosed, as 62 percent of wound isolates and 36 percent clinical isolates from burns these findings were similar to our results. The frequency of multidrug resistant clinical isolates of A. baumannii which were obtained from burns and wounds has recently increased by 91%, the high incidence of A. baumannii isolation is connected to several causes, including A. baumannii acquisition [25].

Antibiotics susceptibility test (AST)

Antibiotics susceptibility test showed that most clinical isolates of Acinetobacter baumannii have high resistance to antibiotics. Figure 2 showed A. baumannii resistance to antibiotics as follows: Piperacillin 84%, Ampicillin-sulbactam 90%, Ceftazidime 90%, Cefotaxime 85%, Tetracycline 79%, Levofloxacin 75%, Gentamicin 82%, Amikacin 81%, Meropenem 85%, Imipenem 80%, Tobramycin 46% and Trimethoprim- sulfamethoxazole 65%. The MIC (Levofloxacin and Meropenem) of all isolates ranged from 8 to 512 table 1.




Figure 2: Antibiotics resistance of A. baumannii isolates

R- Resistance, Piperacillin (PI), Ampicillin-sulbactam (AMS), Ceftazidime (CAZ), Cefotaxime (CTX), Tetracycline (TE), Levofloxacin (LEV), Gentamicin (GM), Amikacin (AK), Meropenem (MEM), Imipenem (IPM), Tobramycin (TOB) and Trimethoprim- sulfamethoxazole (STX).



	LEV	MEM		LEV	MEM
NO. OF	MG.ML ⁻¹	MG.ML ⁻¹	NO. OF	MG.ML ⁻¹	MG.ML ⁻¹
THE	MIC	MIC	THE	MIC	MIC
ISOLAT			ISOLATES		
ES					
A1	64	512	A11	256	128
A2	8	16	A12	256	512
A3	256	32	A13	64	64
A4	128	256	A14	512	128
A5	512	128	A15	64	128
A6	256	64	A16	16	32
A7	128	256	A17	32	8
A8	128	32	A18	512	32
A9	16	8	A19	256	64
A10	512	512	A20	32	128

Table 1: The MIC values (µg/ml) of antibiotics against A. baumannii

The Antibiotics Susceptibility test (AST) in Fig 2 showed *A. baumannii* has high resistance to antibiotics, the sub-mic of Levofloxacin showed high effect against the no. 2 isolate of *A. baumannii*, in contrast, the isolate of no.18 showed high resistance against the concentration of Levofloxacin was 512 µg.ml-1, biofilm formation one of the important reasons which give high resistance against antibiotics. When comparing the current results with other studies which were done in Iraq, the researchers [22, 23] founds the antibiotic resistance against Piperacillin, Ceftazidime, Cefotaxime, Meropenem, Imipenem, Amikacin and Levofloxacin were 95%, 90%, 90%, 85%,95%, 90%, 95% and 100%, 100%, 100%,90%,90%, 95%, 95% respectively, these results were close to present study. The clinical isolates in this investigation revealed substantial resistance to ampicillin-sulbactam and tetracycline 90 % and 70%, respectively.

These findings contradict a local study that found *A. baumannii* resistance to (Ampicillinsulbactam) was 40% [22]. On the other hand, [26] revealed that *A. baumannii* isolates were resistant to Tetracycline in 78 percent and 65 percent of cases, respectively, which agrees with our findings but differs from [27] who identified a rate of Tetracycline resistance of 20%. Tetracyclines and Glycylcyclines block aminoacyl tRNA from attaching to the target ribosome,



therefore inhibiting protein synthesis [28]. The variation in resistance could be attributed to sampling sources, as well as environmental and test conditions.

The Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of Meropenem and Levofloxacin of 20 isolates *A. baumannii* were shown in table 1, that ranged from 8 to 512. Carbapenem antibiotics such as meropenem belong to the β-lactam family and remain active against most β-lactamase-producing organisms, including those with extended spectrum β-lactamase enzymes [29]. Quinolones are bactericidal with a broad spectrum that is characterized by a bicyclic core formation bearing resemblance to 4-quinolone. Quinolone antibiotics are mostly fluoroquinolones (Levofloxacin) displaying efficacy against both Gram-negative and Grampositive pathogens [30].

Biofilm formation of Acinetobacter baumannii

All isolates of *A. baumannii* were evaluated based on their ability to biofilm formation using the microtiter plate method. The results of the current study presented in figure 3 showed that the majority of *A. baumannii* isolates which produced biofilm as Strongly, Moderately, Weak and non- biofilm producers were 55%, 25%, 5%, 15% respectively.




Figure 3: The percentage Biofilm formation of A. baumannii isolates



The results shown in Fig 3 were close to studies done in Iraq [22,23] which founds that most isolates of *A. baumannii* had an ability to strong biofilm formation. Many bacterial diseases, including *A. baumannii*, can produce biofilms, which are matrix contained communities. The ability of *A. baumannii* isolates to form biofilms may have played a key role in the survival and persistence of bacterial infection in the presence of environmental stress factors [31].

Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry Analysis

GC-MS mass spectrometry was used to determination of active compounds in plant extract of *T. arvensis*. Gas chromatography is a chemical analytical instrument for the separation and characterization of chemicals in the sample. The active compounds found in *T. arvensis* (methanol and ethanol) extracts were showed in table 2.

NO.	ACTIVE COMPOUNDS	PEAK	AREA	MAX%	TOTAL
1	Propanoic acid, 3-hydroxy-, methyl ester	12639	171073	0.48%	0.307%
2	1,4-Butanediamine	16023	125467	0.35%	0.226%
3	5-Aminovaleric acid	13969	128558	0.36%	0.231%
4	Acetic acid, (1-methylethoxy)-, ethyl ester	12161	83184	0.23%	0.150%
5	2-Butyl(dimethyl)silyloxybutane	159649	3900783	10.98%	7.011%
6	2-Dimethyl(octyl)silyloxybutane	15272	91078	0.26%	0.164%
7	Silane butoxytrimethyl	203366	7339302	20.65%	13.192%
8	Glucuronamide	584635	35542216	10.00%	63.884%
9	O-glycosides	77506	832711	2.34%	1.497%
10	L-Alanine, 3(aminocarbonyl)amino	49942	869726	2.45%	1.563%
11	Terpenoids	52724	443979	1.25%	0.798%
12	5-Cyano-3-ethoxycarbonyl-1,2,3,4-t etrahydro-	27643	240425	0.68%	0.432%
	4,6-dimethyl-2-oxopyridine				
13	1-Diphenyl(tert-butyl) silyloxy-4-m	133617	2054243	5.78%	3.692%
	ethylbenzene				
14	Butanoic acid, ethyl ester	16388	89762	0.25%	0.161%
15	N-Acetyl-D-glucosamine	30188	154826	0.44%	0.278%
16	8-Octadecynoic acid, methyl ester	114454	2884298	8.12%	5.184%
17	Apigenin	15977	107903	0.30%	0.194%
18	Oleic Acid	16027	122003	0.34%	0.219%
19	Hexacosanoic acid	12710	86649	0.24%	0.156%
20	1-(methylene-1-trimethylsilylcyclo propyl)-	34361	366943	1.03%	0.660%

Table 2: showed the active comp	oounds, peak and are	a of <i>Torilis arvensis</i>
Table 2. showed the active comp	Junus, peak and are	a or rorms arrensis



The active compounds found in *T. arvensis* extracts was showed in the table 2. 2-Butyl(dimethyl)silyloxybutane and glucuronamide were the highest percentage compounds found in *T. arvensis* extracts with 7.011% and 63.884%, respectively, these compounds play important role in effect against biofilm formation of *A. baumannii* isolates through the effect on cell wall of bacteria. The majority of T.*arvensis* phytochemical research has concentrated on terpenoids [32], hence data on flavonoids is scarce. They looked at the flavonoids in the leaves of over 300 Apiaceae species and only identified luteolin-7-glucoside in a few Torilis taxa [33]. In *T. arvensis*, [34] discovered luteolin and apigenin glycosides (glucoside or glucuronide). For detecting flavonoids in plant extracts, mass spectrometry combined with liquid chromatography (LC/MS), tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS), and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) is useful instruments. They're typically combined with electrospray ionization (ESI) to determine flavonoid concentration in raw extracts [35].

Antibacterial activity of the methanol extract of Torilis arvensis against Acinetobacter baumannii

The results of the current study shown in figure 4 and 5 showed that methanol and ethanol extracts of *T. arvensis* (Huds.)A link affects the isolates of multidrug resistance (MDR) of *A. baumannii*. The concentration at 200 mg.ml⁻¹ of methanol and ethanol extracts showed a high ratio 49% and 40% against isolates of *A. baumannii* followed by a concentration at 100 mg.ml⁻¹ of 35% and 30% while at 50 mg.ml⁻¹ showed a lower effect by 15% and 11% respectively.






Figure 4: The Percentage of Torilis arvensis extracts on (15 isolates) of MDR A. baumannii




Figure 5: Antibacterial activity of the alcoholic extracts of Torilis arvensis against MDR of *A*. *baumannii*

The results in Figure 4 and 5 showed the methanol and ethanol extracts of *T. arvensis* have antibacterial activity against MDR isolates of *A. baumannii*, the concentration at 200 mg/ml of both extracts have a high ratio against isolates of *A. baumannii* than 100 mg.ml⁻¹ and 50 mg.ml⁻¹. Several studies agree with our results, the extract of Torilis spp. have antimicrobial activity against *Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Candida*



albicans [36]. Antimicrobial activity of Torilis sp. oil was tested against three standard bacteria strains (*Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) and two standard fungus strains (*Candida albicans* and *Pichia guilliermondii*) The oil displayed moderate effectiveness against the infections tested in vitro, according to the findings [37].

Effects of alcoholic extracts of *Torilis arvensis* and antibiotics on the strong biofilm formation

Eleven isolates of *A. baumannii* was strong biofilm formation that was reduced after treatment with sub-antibiotics (Meropenem and Levofloxacin) and sub-alcoholic extracts (Tables 3 and 4). The biofilm of most *A. baumannii* isolates decreased from strongly to moderately and non-biofilm producer, the isolates that decreased in biofilm formation are A1, A3, A5, A6, A8, A10, A12, A14, A15, A18, A20.

ISOLATES	AFTER MEROPENEM TREATMENT			AFTER LEVOFLOXACIN TREATMENT			
NO.	Sub	Absorbency	Biofilms	Sub	Absorbency	Biofilms	
NO.	MIC	at 630 nm	formation	MIC	at 630 nm	formation	
A1	256	0.042	Non-biofilm producer	32	0.076	Moderately	
A3	16	0.115	Strongly	128	0.128	Strongly	
A5	64	0.075	Moderately	256	0.081	Moderately	
A6	32	0.044	Non-biofilm producer	128	0.076	Moderately	
A8	16	0.077	Moderately	64	0.047	Non-biofilm producer	
A10	256	0.083	Moderately	256	0.070	Moderately	
A12	256	0.123	Strongly	128	0.081	Moderately	
A14	64	0.069	Moderately	256	0.114	Strongly	
A15	64	0.073	Moderately	32	0.073	Moderately	
A18	16	0.083	Moderately	256	0.133	Strongly	
A20	64	0.085	Moderately	16	0.084	Moderately	

Table 3: The effect of SUB-MIC antibiotics on Strong biofilms formation by A. baumannii



ISOLATES		NOL TREATMENT (SUB- MIC 100)	AFTER ETHANOL TREATMENT (SUB- MIC 100)		
NO.	Absorbency at 630 nm	Biofilms formation	Absorbency at 630 nm	Biofilms formation	
A1	0.042	Non-biofilm producer	0.069	Moderately	
A3	0.070	Moderately	0.044	Weak	
A5	0.077	Moderately	0.085	Moderately	
A6	0.038	Non-biofilm producer	0.050	Weak	
A8	0.040	Non-biofilm producer	0.041	Non-biofilm producer	
A10	0.043	Non-biofilm producer	0.047	Non-biofilm producer	
A12	0.045	Non-biofilm producer	0.043	Non-biofilm producer	
A14	0.072	Moderately	0.041	Non-biofilm producer	
A15	0.069	Moderately	0.052	Weak	
A18	0.042	Non-biofilm producer	0.051	Weak	
A20	0.046	Non-biofilm producer	0.042	Non-biofilm producer	

Table 4: The effect of *Torilis arvensis* extracts (SUB-MIC) on Strong biofilms formation by A.

 baumannii

Tables 3 and 4 showed the sub-mic after treatment with meropenem, levofloxacin, methanol, and ethanol extracts of *Torilis arvensis* decreased strong biofilm formation. The extracts *Torilis arvensis* did not effect on the isolates of no. 3,12 and 18 because these isolates have high strong biofilm formation and also may have many virulence factors which making it XDR against antibiotics and extracts while the isolates no. 1,6 and 8 which became inability to form biofilm formation after treatment with *Torilis arvensis* extracts. *Torilis arvensis* extracts shown rich active compounds showed in table 2 especially -Butyl(dimethyl)silyloxybutane and glucuronamide Which is attributed to the ability to affect the biofilm of *A. baumannii* in addition to other compounds.

A study was done by indicated the inhibitory activity of ethanolic and methanolic extracts of Apiaceae against *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus cereus*, *E. coli*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Vibrio* bacteria [38]. The ethanolic and methanolic extracts had the largest mean diameter of non-growth halo against gram--negative *E. coli* at the concentrations studied, with mean diameters of 23.8767 and 16.5067 mm, respectively, extracts from medicinal plants (Apiaceae) are becoming a more important source of new drugs and healthcare items, these results showed



Torilis arvensis extracts have high effect against gram--negative which agree with our results [39]. Methanol extracts of Apiaceae species have antioxidant, enzyme inhibitory, antibacterial, and cytotoxic activities [40]. A new study attempts to discover novel antimicrobial drugs that have fewer adverse effects and are more effective [41]. Herbs have been used to treat infectious diseases for centuries [42]. Herbal extracts and essential oils have recently been studied for their antimicrobial properties. For hundreds of years, plant extracts (Torilis arvensis) have been utilized as natural treatments to treat a wide range of ailments, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses [43].

Conclusions

Acinetobacter baumannii isolated from burns and wounds showed high resistance against antibiotics. *Acinetobacter baumannii* produces a strong biofilm formation at 55%. Alcoholic extracts (methanol and ethanol) of *Torilis arvensis* at 200 mg.ml⁻¹ showed a high effect against MDR isolates of *A. baumannii*.

References

- 1. D. Hope, L. Ampaire, C. Oyet, E. Muwanguzi, H. Twizerimana, R. O. Apecu, Scientific reports, 9(1), 1-10(2019)
- 2. S. Mukherjee, SK. Nethi, C. R. Patra, Green synthesized gold nanoparticles for future biomedical applications. In: Jana S, Jana S (eds) Particulate technology for delivery of therapeutics, (Springer, Singapore, 2017)
- 3. M. L. Grayson, A. J. Stewardson, P. L. Russo, K. E. Ryan, K. L. Olsen, S. M. Havers, National Hand Hygiene Initiative, *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 18(11), 1269-1277(2018)
- 4. E. Török, E. Moran, F. Cooke, Oxford handbook of infectious diseases and microbiology, (Oxford University Press, 2016)
- 5. M. Gajdács, F. Albericio, Antibiotics, 8(3), 129(2019)
- 6. N. S. Fam, D.Gamal, S. H. Mohamed, R. M. Wasfy, M. S. Soliman, A. A. El-Kholy, P. G. Higgins, *Infection and Drug Resistance*, *13*, 4487(2020)
- T. Ivanković, I. Goić-Barišić, J. Hrenović, Arhiv za higijenu rada i toksikologiju, 68(2), 99– 108(2017)



- 8. A. P. Bassey, Y. Chen, Z. Zhu, O. A. Odeyemi, E. B. Frimpong, K. Ye, G. Zhou, *Food Research International*, *145*, 110412(2021)
- 9. S. Manandhar, S. Luitel, R. K. Dahal, Journal of tropical medicine, (2019)
- 10. M. Efenberger-Szmechtyk, A. Nowak, A. Czyzowska, *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition*, *61*(1), 149-178(2021)
- 11. N. B. Z. Aziz-UL-Ikram, Z. K. Shinwari, M. O. H. A. M. M. A. D. Qaiser, *Pak J Bot*, 47(3), 1007-1014(2015)
- 12. L. Riahi, H. Ghazghazi, B. Ayari, C. Aouadhi, I. Klay, H. Chograni, N. Zoghlami, *Industrial Crops and Products*, *66*, 96-102(2015)
- 13. N. Kalsoom, M. Zafar, M. Ahmad, S. Sultana, A. Usma, A. Jabeen, *Microscopy Research and Technique*, 82(7), 1012-1020(2019)
- M. Hernández Durán, L. López Jácome, C. Colín Castro, G. CerónGonzález, S. Ortega Peña, E. Vanegas Rodríguez, *Investigaction En Discapacidad*, 6(3), 105-114(2017)
- CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing twenty- second informational supplement. M100-S24.Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute, 34 (1),58-172(2021)
- 16. J. Tang, M. Kang, H. Chen, X. Shi, R. Zhou, J. Chen, Y. Du, *Science China Life Science*, 54(9),863-9(2011)
- 17. C. Almeida, N. F. Azevedo, S. Santos, C. Keevil, M. Vieira, PLoS ONE, 8(6), 101-371(2013)
- G. Park, E. Kim, Y. J. Son, D. H. Yoon, G. H. Sung, A. Aravinthan, J. Y. Cho, *Pharmaceutical biology*, 55(1), 2074-2082(2017)
- 19. M. Taherkhani, S. Masoudi, R. Fathollahi, T. Baradari, A. Rustaiyan, *Nashrieh Shimi va Mohandesi Shimi Iran*, *31*(3), 65-70(2013)
- 20. J. Chen, X. J. Xu, Y. H. Fang, S. Li, Y. L. Zhang, *Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants*, 16(4), 499-505(2013)
- S. M. M. AL-Dahlaki, Molecular detection and gene expression for hcp and blaOXA-51 genes in Acinetobacter baumannii isolated from different clinical sources, MSC Thesis, College of Science, University of Diyala, 154(2020)
- 22. M. T. S. AL-Dulaimi, Antimicrobial activity of Colistin combined with some Probiotics against the clinical isolates of Acinetobacter baumannii bacteria, Master Thesis, College science, Diyala University, (2021)
- 23. K. K. Ghaima, S. M. K. Saadedin, K. S. Jassim, *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 6(5), 2250-3153(2016)
- 24. L. Wang, L. Wang, New Century Science Press, 3(2), 22-26(2016)
- 25. R. A. Taha, Genetic and molecular study of isolated *Acinetobacter baumannii* from different clinical sources and their relationship to the bacterium in Diyala Governorate, Master's Thesis, College of Science, University Diyala, (2018)
- 26. G. I. Subramanian, S. Arora, S. Mehta, R. Mittal, A. Mane, S. N. Charugulla, *Journal of The Association of Physicians of India*, 68,59(2020)



- 27. M. H. Maleki, Z. Sekawi, S. Soroush, F. Azizi-Jalilian, K. Asadollahi, S. Mohammadi, M. Taherikalani, *Iranian journal of basic medical sciences*, 17(1), 21-26(2014)
- 28. R. R. de Souza, M. A. Nascimento, R. B. Ederli, E. C. M. Santos, I. A. dos Santos, J. P. B. Ederli, *Research, Society and Development*, *9*(10), e1889108270-e1889108270(2020)
- 29. Pan American Health Organization PAHO. (2017). WHO publishes list of bacteria for which new antibiotics are urgently needed. Retrieved from: https://www.paho.org/bra/in dex.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=5357:oms-publica-lista-de-bacterias-para-asquais-se-necessitam-novos-antibioticos- urgentemente&Itemid=812.
- 30. M. Hamidian, S. J. Nigro, *Microbial genomics*, 5(10), (2019)
- 31. M. Bigdeli, A. Rustaiyan, S. Masoudi, Journal of essential oil research, 16(6), 526-527(2004)
- 32. R. K. Crowden, J. B. Harborne, V. H. Heywood, Phytochemistry, 8(10), 1963-1984(1969)
- 33. A. F. Halim, Mansoura J. Pharm. Sci, 6(4), 26-37(1990)
- 34. F. Ferreres, P. B. Andrade, P. Valentão, A. Gil-Izquierdo, Journal of Chromatography A, 1182(1), 56-64(2008)
- 35. F. Runci, C. Bonchi, E. Frangipani, D. Visaggio, P. Visca, Antimicrob, Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 61(1), e01563-16(2017)
- E. C. Eze, H. Y. Chenia, M. E. El Zowalaty, Infection and Drug Resistance, 11: 2277– 2299(2018)
- 37. G. C. Kharkwala, C. Pandea, G. Tewari, A. Panwarb, V. Pandeb, DC. *J Indian Chem Soc*, 94, 191-194(2017)
- N. N. Mahmood, A. A. Hameed, Journal of University of Babylon for Pure and Applied Sciences, 26(5): 335 - 346(2018)
- G. Zengin, K. I. Sinan, G. Ak, M. F. Mahomoodally, M. Y. Paksoy, C.Picot-Allain, L. Custodio, Industrial Crops and Products, 153, 112572(2020)
- 40. M. Mohammadhosseini, A. Venditti, S. D. Sarker, L. Nahar, A. Akbarzadeh, Industrial crops and products, 129, 350-394(2019)
- 41. Z. Mohsenipour, M. Hassanshahian, Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology, 9(6), (2016)
- 42. J. Bengtsson-Palme, S. Heß, Antibiotic Drug Resistance, 637-673(2019)
- 43. L. Riahi, H. Ghazghazi, B. Ayari, C. Aouadhi, I. Klay, H. Chograni, N. Zoghlami, *Industrial Crops and Products*, *66*, 96-102(2015)